Chemopreventive effects of pomegranate fruit extract on acrylamide induced lung, liver and testis carcinogenesis in male laka mice
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Abstract
The present study was aimed to test the efficacy of pomegranate fruit extract to induce apoptosis in the artificially induced tumor cells in mice. Method: Adult male LAKA-UK mice (30-40g) were divided into four groups, viz. control, AA, PFE and PFE+AA. Induction of carcinogenesis by intra-peritoneal administration of acrylamide was preceded by PFE treatment in PFE+AA group. At the end of study animals were sacrificed by decapitation under deep anesthesia and organs (lung, liver and testes) were resected to evaluate activities of various enzymes such as CAT, SOD, GPx, GSH and GST in different experimental groups. MTT assay and histological examination of lung and liver sections were also conducted. Result: Administration of acrylamide resulted in the increase SOD, GPx and GSH activities, which decreased significantly (p<0.05) in PFE+AA group. The MTT assay showed high cell proliferation in the AA group of mice which lessened in treatment groups. Similarly the histological examinations exhibited alveolar wall destruction and air space enlargement in pulmonary tissues and larger vacuolization in the hepatic tissues due to acrylamide administration, whereas in PFE+AA cells it was found to be normal. Conclusion: Pomegranate fruit extract was observed to be a potential treatment intervention that not only prevents the onset and progress of carcinogenesis but also helps in the initiation of apoptosis and refurbishment of the damaged cellular architecture.
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Introduction
Cancer is a leading cause of death worldwide. Lung cancer as the most common fatal neoplastic disease in the world responsible for 28% of all the cancer related deaths.[1] Primary liver cancer is the sixth most common cancer in the world and the third most common cause of cancer mortality.[2] Testicular cancer is uncommon in most countries with an incidence that ranges from 10 to 10 per million and accounts for, 1% of all cancers in men but, 60% of all cancers in young males 15–35 years of age. Moreover, the incidence of testicular cancer has doubled in the last 20–40 years.[3, 4]

Acrylamide is a naturally occurring white crystalline chemical compound at room temperature found in many plant-based, high-carbohydrate foods after they are heated and is classified by the International agency for research on cancer (IARC) as a probable human carcinogen. Acrylamide reactivity with enzymes or receptors may induce changes in cellular functions and signal pathways, leading to a possible involvement with acrylamide induced carcinogenesis.[5] There is robust evidence available in the literature to support of positive dose response relationships between acrylamide exposure and cancer in multiple organs and tissues in animal model.[6–9]

Pomegranate (Punica granatum, Punicaceae), native to Persia, is an edible fruit cultivated in Mediterranean countries, Afghanistan, India, China, Japan, Russia, and some parts of the United States. Edible parts of pomegranate fruit (80% of total fruit weight) are comprised of 80% juice and 20% seed.[10] Pomegranate fruit is a rich source of two types of polyphenolic compounds: anthocyanins (such as delphinidin, cyanidin, and pelargonidin), which give the fruit and juice its red color; and hydrolyzable tannins (such as punicalin, pedunculagin, punicalagin, galagic, and ellagic acid esters of glucose), which account for 92% of the antioxidant activity of the whole fruit.[11, 12] The hydrolyzable tannins account for 92% of the antioxidant activity of the whole fruit.[13] The soluble polyphenol content in pomegranate juice varies between 0.2 and 1.0%.[14] Dietary supplementation of polyphenol-rich pomegranate juice to atherosclerotic mice was shown to inhibit significantly the development of atherosclerotic lesions.[15] Chemoprevention is an effective strategy to control the incidence of cancer and is a pharmacological approach to intervention in order to arrest or reverse the process of carcinogenesis.[16] Extracted edible seeds of pomegranate fruit with acetone, referred as pomegranate fruit extract (PFE). Studies in animal models of cancer suggest that PFE consumption may be anti-carcinogenic[17, 18] and can be a useful chemopreventive agent against human lung cancer.[19] Phytochemicals from fruit
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pomegranate may inhibit cancer cell proliferation and apoptosis through the modulation of cellular transcription factors and signaling proteins.[20] Oral administration of PFE to A/J mice significantly inhibited lung carcinogen-induced NF-kappaB and IKK alpha, transcription factors that play a central role in several cellular processes, including proliferation, cell adhesion, apoptosis, inflammatory response, and regulation of the immune response.[21] In view of available literature the present study was designed to investigate the chemopreventive effects of Pomegranate fruit extract on acrylamide induced lung, testis and liver carcinogenesis in male Laka mice. For studying the effect of acrylamide on antioxidant status, the activities of enzymic antioxidants SOD, CAT, GPx, GST and non-enzymic antioxidant GSH were measured.

Materials and methods

Animals

Normal adult male LAKA-UK mice (30-40g) were procured from central experimental animal facility of Panjab University, Chandigarh. They were housed in polypropylene cages at 25 ± 2 °C, relative humidity 50 ± 15% and normal photo period (12 hr dark/12 hr light) were used for the experiment. Commercial pellet diet containing and water were provided ad libitum. Commercial dark/12 hr light) were used for the experiment. Commercial pellet diet (Ashirwad, Punjab, India) contains protein: 24%, fat: 5%, fiber: 4%, carbohydrate: 55%, calcium: 0.6%, phosphorous: 0.3%, moisture: 10% and ash: 9% w/w. Animals were acclimatized for the period of one week.

Study Design

Mice (n=40) were equally divided into the following four experimental groups containing 10 animals each: control group, acrylamide group (AA), pomegranate fruit extract group (PFE) and acrylamide + pomegranate fruit extract group (PFE+AA). Carcinogenesis was induced in animals of group AA and PFE+AA, by the intra-peritoneal injections acrylamide at a dose of 50 mg/Kg body weight in 0.25 ml of deionized water, three times a week for 8 weeks. Animals of control and PFE groups received normal diet with tap water ad libitum and pomegranate fruit extract (0.2% w/v) in drinking water until the end of the experiment. Animals in the PFE group were given pomegranate fruit extract (0.2% w/v) in drinking water till the end of experiment. The study was approved by Institutional Animal Ethical Committee, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India.

Sample preparation

Animals were fasted overnight and sacrificed by decapitation. The organs (lung, liver and testes) were removed, washed with normal saline and 10% homogenate was prepared in potassium phosphate buffer (100mM, pH 7.5). The homogenates were centrifuged at 800 g for 5 minutes at 4 °C to separate the nuclear debris. The supernatant so obtained was centrifuged at 10,500 g for 20 minutes at 4°C to get the post mitochondrial supernatant (PMS) which was used to various biochemical assays.

Biochemical Analysis

Various enzymes such as CAT, SOD, GPx, GSH and GST were assayed before and after the induction of carcinogenesis by acrylamide administration and PFE treatment. The values of enzyme activity obtained were used to evaluate the variations in percentage of the enzymes in different experimental groups (viz. Control, AA, PFE, PFE+AA) which surfaced the enzymatic manipulations in the carcinogenic and recovering cells. The percentage variations were calculated by dividing the change in enzyme activity of a group with respect to a baseline group (Control or AA) to baseline group, multiplied by 100.

Assay of Catalase (CAT) and superoxide dismutase (SOD)

CAT was assayed colorimetrically at 240 nm and expressed as mM of H₂O₂ consumed/min/mg protein[22] as described by Luck, 1965. 1.5 ml of the H₂O₂ buffer added 20 µl of sample supernatant (PMS). H₂O₂ catalyzed by this enzyme was measured by decrease in absorbance at 240 nm, taking 0.0394 mM-1cm-1 extinction coefficient and enzyme activity was expressed as K/mg protein. Here “K” is the first order rate constant.

SOD was assayed utilizing the technique of Kono and Fridovich, 1982 based on inhibitory effect of SOD on the reduction of NBT (Nitroblue tetrazolium) dye by superoxide anions which are generated by the photo-oxidation of hydroxylamine hydrochloride.[23] Rate of reduction was noted at 560 nm. A single unit of enzyme was expressed as 50% inhibition of NBT reduction/min/mg protein.

Determination reduced glutathione (GSH) and glutathione peroxidase (GPx)

GSH was determined by the method of Moron et al., 1979. [24] 100 µl of PMS (10 %) was precipitated with 25 µl of 25% trichloroacetic acid and the precipitate was removed by centrifugation at 1500 x g for 10 min. 50 µl of the supernatant was added to 450 µl of phosphate buffer and 1 ml of 0.6 mM DNTB. The absorbance was...
read at 412 nm. Reduced glutathione was expressed as micromole of GSH/mg protein of tissue. GPx activity was measured by the method described by Paglia and Valentine, 1987. [25] Briefly, reaction mixture contained 820 µl phosphate buffer pH 7.0, 37.5 µl H2O2 and 25 µl of PMS. The decrease in absorbance due to NADPH oxidation was monitored at 340 nm for 3 min. One unit of enzyme is defined as nmol NADPH consumed/min/mg protein using an extinction coefficient of 6.22 mM-1cm-1.

Determination of glutathione-S-transferase (GST)

The GST activity was determined spectrophotometrically[26] by the method of Habig et al., 1981. The reaction mixture (3 ml) contained 2.7 ml of 100 mM phosphate buffer, 0.1 ml of 30 mM 1-chloro-2, 4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB) and 0.1 ml of 30 mM GSH. After preincubating the reaction mixture at 37°C for 2 min, the reaction was started by the addition of 0.1 ml of 10% PMS and the increase in absorbance was followed for 3 min at 340 nm. Reaction mixture without the enzyme was used as blank. The activity of GST is expressed as μmoles of GSH-CDNB conjugate formed/min/mg protein using an extinction coefficient of 9.6 mM-1 cm-1.

Estimation of protein

Protein was determined by the method of Lowry et al., 1951 using Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) as standard, at 660 nm.[27]

MTT assay

The MTT-test for cell proliferation was performed as described by Supino, 1990, using incubation times of 24 and 48 hr.[28] In brief, lung, liver and testis were perfused, enzymatically digested and single cell suspensions were made in Ca and Mg free phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Gibco, USA) 5 g/L. This suspension was centrifuged and the precipitate was resuspended in MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide, Sigma), dissolved in Ca- and Mg-free phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Gibco, USA). Sample cells (105 cells/100µl) were incubated at 5% CO2 and 37°C for 24 and 48 hrs in multiwell tissue culture plates (96 well/plate). After centrifugation of the plates at 2000 rpm for 10 min, incubation medium was aspirated and replaced with dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), shaken for 5 min and the absorbances were measured at 550 nm (reference at 620 nm) in a microculture plate reader.

Histological Analysis

Light microscopic study

Histological analysis of lung and liver tissue sections were done with the standard method. Tissue samples were fixed in 10% formalin, routinely processed and embedded in paraffin. Paraffin sections (5 µm) were cut on glass slides and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and examined under a light microscope.

Statistical Analysis

All values are expressed as mean ± SE. One way ANOVA was applied to test for significance of biochemical data of the different groups. Data is represented as Mean ± SD (n=10). Data is analyzed by using one way ANOVA post Hoc test using least significance difference (LSD) and by student's t test at p=0.05 using SPSS statistical software. Significance is set at p < 0.05.

Results

The chemopreventive and anticarcinogenic effect of PFE on development of lung, testis and liver tumors induced by acrylamide administration were assessed.

CAT Activity

The CAT activity in the different types of tissues viz. lungs, liver and testis were measured. Administration of Acrylamide (AA) caused insignificant increase (+17.50%) in the pulmonary CAT, however, a significant (p<0.01) decrease in the CAT level was observed in the hepatic (-49.14%) and testicular (-49.61%) tissues as compared to the control group (Table 1). After treatment, the PFE+AA group mice exhibited an insignificant decrease in the pulmonary and hepatic CAT levels and also significant (p<0.05) increase (+66.14%) in the testicular CAT levels when compared to the group exposed to AA only (Figure1).

Table 1: Percentage Variation in Cat Activity due to Acrylamide Induction and PFE Treatment in Different Tissues (viz. Lung, Liver and Testes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUPS</th>
<th>LUNG</th>
<th>LIVER</th>
<th>TESTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enzyme Activity (mMolH2O2 decomposed/min/mg)</td>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>Enzyme Activity (U/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I (Control)</td>
<td>66.96±13.95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59.34±15.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II (AA)</td>
<td>78.68±12.56</td>
<td>+17.50*</td>
<td>30.18±7.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III (PFE)</td>
<td>48.26±0.33</td>
<td>+27.93*</td>
<td>14.66±8.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV (PFE+AA)</td>
<td>75.88±30.24</td>
<td>-3.55$</td>
<td>6.73±3.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* w.r.t. Group I (Control)  
$ w.r.t Group II (AA)
The administration of Acrylamide (AA) caused insignificant increase in the pulmonary SOD levels, however, an insignificant decrease in SOD level was noted in the hepatic tissues. In the testicular tissues the SOD activity enhanced (+150.0%) significantly (p<0.01) as compared to the control group (Table 2). After treatment, the PFE+AA group mice exhibited a significant decrease in the pulmonary and testicular SOD level while in the hepatic tissues it was observed to be enhanced (+119.62%) as shown in Figure 2.

Table 2: Percentage Variation in SOD Activity due to Acrylamide Induction and PFE Treatment in Different Tissues (viz. Lung, Liver and Testes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>LUNG</th>
<th></th>
<th>LIVER</th>
<th></th>
<th>TESTES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enzyme Activity (U/mg)</td>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>Enzyme Activity (U/ml)</td>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>Enzyme Activity (U/ml)</td>
<td>% Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp I (Control)</td>
<td>0.295±0.071</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.29±0.018</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.24±0.23</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP II (AA)</td>
<td>0.33±0.14</td>
<td>+11.86*</td>
<td>0.27±0.033</td>
<td>-6.89*</td>
<td>0.6±0.3</td>
<td>+150.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP III (PFE)</td>
<td>0.245±0.07</td>
<td>-17.01*</td>
<td>0.28±0.088</td>
<td>-3.44*</td>
<td>0.317±0.017</td>
<td>+32.08*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP IV (PFE+AA)</td>
<td>0.23±0.095</td>
<td>-30.30$</td>
<td>0.613±0.416</td>
<td>+119.62$</td>
<td>0.261±0.03</td>
<td>-56.66$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* w.r.t. Group I (Control)
$ w.r.t. Group II (AA)
Table 3: Percentage Variation in GPx Activity due to Acrylamide Induction and PFE Treatment in Different Tissues (viz. Lung, Liver and Testes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>LUNG</th>
<th></th>
<th>LIVER</th>
<th></th>
<th>TESTES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enzyme Activity (μmol NADPH Oxidised/min/mg)</td>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>Enzyme Activity (U/ml)</td>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>Enzyme Activity (U/ml)</td>
<td>% Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I (Control)</td>
<td>0.2±0.114</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.16±0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.12±0.18</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II (AA)</td>
<td>0.23±0.03</td>
<td>+15.0*</td>
<td>0.23±0.12</td>
<td>+43.75*</td>
<td>0.53±0.08</td>
<td>+341.66*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III (PFE)</td>
<td>0.78±0.2</td>
<td>+290*</td>
<td>0.13±0.16</td>
<td>-18.75*</td>
<td>0.198±0.001</td>
<td>+66.67*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV (PFE+AA)</td>
<td>0.17±0.11</td>
<td>-26.08$</td>
<td>0.52±0.24</td>
<td>+126.08$</td>
<td>0.81±0.27</td>
<td>+52.83$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a.w.r.t. Group I (Control)  
$w.r.t. Group II (AA)
Figure 3: Variations in GPx levels in different biological (Pulmonary, Hepatic and Testicular) tissues of mice upon treatment with Acrylamide and PFE

a: p<0.01 significant as compared to control group
#: p<0.05 significant as compared to AA group
aaa: p<0.001 significant as compared to PFE group

treated mice. Upon treatment with PFE prior to AA administration, i.e in PFE+AA group of mice, significant (p<0.05) increase (+135.97%) in the hepatic GSH levels were recorded when compared to AA group of mice. The GSH activity in pulmonary tissues decreased (-58.87%) significantly (p<0.05) in comparison to AA group (Table 4, Figure 4).

Table 4: Percentage Variation in GSH Activity due to Acrylamide Induction and PFE Treatment in Different Tissues (viz. Lung, Liver and Testes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>LUNG</th>
<th></th>
<th>LIVER</th>
<th></th>
<th>TESTES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enzyme Activity (μmol/min/mg)</td>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>Enzyme Activity (U/ml)</td>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>Enzyme Activity (U/ml)</td>
<td>% Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I (Control)</td>
<td>13.71±5.69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.47±5.31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.47±7.46</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II (AA)</td>
<td>20.62±7.73</td>
<td>+50.40*</td>
<td>7.7±1.0</td>
<td>-42.83*</td>
<td>30.39±0.73</td>
<td>+64.53*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III (PFE)</td>
<td>9.09±0.81</td>
<td>-33.70*</td>
<td>6.77±0.0</td>
<td>-49.74*</td>
<td>9.37±0.73</td>
<td>-49.26*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*w.r.t. Group I (Control)
$w.r.t Group II (AA)

GSH Activity

The administration of acrylamide caused significant (p<0.05) increase in the pulmonary (+50.40%) and testicular GSH levels (+64.53%). In the hepatic tissues the GSH level decreased in AA treated mice.
The GST activity in the acrylamide treated group was found to enhance insignificantly in the pulmonary, testicular and hepatic tissues when compared to the control group. The Treatment group (PFE) exhibited a much reduced GST activity in all types of tissues.

**Table 5: Percentage Variation in GST Activity due to Acrylamide Induction and PFE Treatment in Different Tissues (viz. Lung, Liver and Testes)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>LUNG</th>
<th>LIVER</th>
<th>TESTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enzyme Activity (μmol/min/mg)</td>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>Enzyme Activity (U/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I (Control)</td>
<td>2.11±1.98</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.37±2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II (AA)</td>
<td>2.31±1.5</td>
<td>+9.47*</td>
<td>6.06±3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III (PFE)</td>
<td>1.03±0.97</td>
<td>-51.18*</td>
<td>3.35±2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV (PFE+AA)</td>
<td>1.18±0.75</td>
<td>-48.91$</td>
<td>2.83±1.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*w.r.t. Group I (Control)  
$w.r.t$ Group II (AA)

In the treatment group i.e. PFE+AA also there was a significant (p<0.05) decrease in the GST activity as compared to AA group in all the tested tissue samples including pulmonary (-48.91%) and hepatic (-53.30%) (Table 5, Figure 5).
Figure 5: Variations in GST levels in different biological (Pulmonary, Hepatic and Testicular) tissues of mice upon treatment with Acrylamide and PFE

# p 0.05 significant as compared to AA group

**MTT Assay**

MTT assay showed that AA markedly induced cellular proliferation in lung, testis and liver. But in case of liver, there was more proliferation after 24 hrs which then decreased after 48 hrs in AA treated mice. PFE administration caused a decrease in cellular proliferation in conjunctive group than AA treated group after 24 hrs and 48 hrs (Figure 6).

Figure 6: Variations in Cellular Proliferation of Various Biological Tissues (Lungs, Liver and Testes) in Response to Acrylamide Induction and PFE Treatment

Histology of lung showed that acrylamide clearly induced alveolar wall destruction and air space enlargement. No changes were observed in PFE treated group. There was enlargement of air spaces but to a lesser degree in Gp4 (PFE+AA) as compared to AA group (Figure. 7). Histology of liver in AA exposed group showed increased in number of hepatocytes. In PFE treated group, vacuolization was observed and there were no significant changes in Gp 4 when compared to Gp2 but vacuolization was lesser in degree (Figure. 8).
Figure 7: Histological Changes in the Pulmonary Tissues in (a) Control (b) Induction of Carcinogenesis by Acrylamide (arrows show enlargement of air space as compared to control), (c) Treatment with only PFE, (d) Treatment with PFE followed by Acrylamide Administration (lesser degree of air space enlargement as indicated by arrows) (at 40X Magnification)

Figure 8: Histological Changes in the Hepatic Tissues (a) Control (b) Induction of Carcinogenesis by Acrylamide (arrows depict vacuolization in hepatic cells), (c) Treatment with only PFE, (d) Treatment with PFE followed by Acrylamide Administration (lesser degree of vacuolization as shown by arrows) (at 40X Magnification)
Discussion

The administration of pomegranate fruit extract to acrylamide treated mice has beneficial effects in counteracting the carcinogenic action of acrylamide by promoting the activity of carcinogen detoxification enzymes (GSH, GPx, CAT, GST, SOD) in the pulmonary, testicular, and hepatic tissues. Acrylamide is perfectly capable of increasing the tumor yield in mice. It is structurally similar to vinyl carbamate which is a proposed proximate to carcinogenic form of ethyl carbamate and thus it possesses similar carcinogenic properties.[29] GST is an important phase II carcinogenic biotransformation enzyme that catalyzes the conjugation of various endogenous and exogenous compounds with the non protein thiol, glutathione. This conjugation reaction inhibits the reactive cellular nucleophiles from reaching cellular targets such as DNA, RNA and protein and result in the production of thiol-ether linked glutathione conjugate that is less toxic and readily excreted from the body via a GSH-conjugate recognizing transporter.[30] After absorption acrylamide is also rapidly metabolized primarily by GSH conjugation.[31] GST and GSH inducers are considered to be the potential inhibitors of the acrylamide- biomolecules adduct formation.[32] The GST activity in lungs was found to be increased with the administration of acrylamide possibly due to the increased formation of conjugates between acrylamide and GSH.[33] However there was a decrease in the GST activity in all the three type of tissue of the PFE+AA treatment group, clearly showing the dilapidating effects of PFE on acrylamide. Similar results were demonstrated by Schwartz et al., 1992 showing a decline in GST levels in human oral carcinoma cells under the effects of β- carotene treatment.[34]

The GPx activity in the treatment (PFE+AA) group was found to be increasing in almost hepatic and testicular cell types. Significant (p<0.05) increase in the GPx activity was also reported in a wide range of tumor cells compared to control.[35] The observed increase in the GPx activity confirms that the enzyme is actively involved in protecting the cell from hydrogen peroxide. However in the pulmonary cells, the treatment (PFE+AA) group showed slight decrease in GPx activity as compared to control. The result was, however, in coherence with similar observations by Palozza et al. (2000), who demonstrated that Glutathione activity decreases by 35% (p<0.01) in canthaxanthin treated mice.[36] Hydrogen peroxide is the normal cellular metabolite that is produced during the oxidative damage to tissues.[37] Predominantly two systems are responsible for the decomposition of endogenously produced H2O2 viz. GPx and catalase (CAT). At low H2O2 levels, the decomposition of organic peroxides is catalyzed by CAT.[38] Under conditions of oxidative stress, cells increase the concentration of some antioxidant enzymes, most commonly MnSOD and Catalase. We report a significant decrease in the CAT activity in the AA treated group. The suppressed activity of CAT may be correlated to high activity of GPx which utilizes H2O2 as substrate causing a decline in generation of H2O2. Evidences of weakened activity in tumor cells have been reported in numerous studies on antioxidant scavengers.[39] Helen and Vijayammal PL (1997) reported a relation between cigarette smoke and decrease in catalase activity in several organs such as liver, lungs and heart, where they have reported a decrease in CAT activity on CS exposure.[40]

Moreover there was a significant (p<0.05) increase in the pulmonary and testicular SOD activity in the AA cells as compared to the control group, which later on decreased in the PFE+AA conjunctive group. The increase in the SOD activity could be to combat the free radicals generation during the acrylamide toxicity.[41] Yousuf and El-Demerdash, 2005, also reported similar enhanced SOD activity in the acrylamide treated testicular cells.[33] Besides studies by Kirkova et al., 2007, have suggested an increase in SOD activity following aspirin administration to rats.[42] Wengen et al. (2002) have observed an increase in hepatic tissue of Syrian hamster after treatment with celecoxib.[43] Apoptosis or programmed cell death is a major control mechanism by which a cell dies when the DNA damage is not repaired.[44] It is also pioneer in controlling the cell number and proliferation as part of normal development. The proliferation was assessed by the MTT study[28] and estimation of the total concentration which demonstrated the growth and survival of the cell. In the present study there was an increase in cell proliferation after 24 and 48 hr in acrylamide administered mice. Acrylamide have been shown to induce morphological transformations in C3H/ 10T1/ 2 and NIH/ 3T3 cells[45] as well as in BALB/ c3T3 cell lines.[46] Acrylamide binds to the cytoskeleton system and causes modification in the shape resulting in the altered growth related cellular functions[47,48] which may further be involved in the acrylamide-induced cellular transformation and carcinogenicity. Histopathological analysis showed that the lung of mice from control group depicted normal architecture. AA exposure clearly induced alveolar wall destruction and air space enlargement, which decreased in the co treatment group. Similarly liver cells architecture of the AA treated cells were also altered showing increased number of hepatocytes and distinct occurrence of hyperchromatia. The vacuolization were however to a lesser degree in the co-treatment group. Llovet et al, (2003) have also reported moderate vacuolization of hepatocytes in liver of rats exposed to tobacco smoke.[49]

Conclusion

The present study evidently show that pomegranate fruit extract administration to acrylamide treated mice has beneficial effects in counteracting its carcinogenic action since it decreases the activity of carcinogen action by promoting the activity of carcinogen detoxification enzymes (GSH, GPx, CAT, GST, SOD, etc) in pulmonary, testicular and hepatic tissues. In the light of the present work, pomegranate and other fruit extracts could well be scrutinized for their preventive as well as therapeutic roles, to reduce the incidence of cancer by checking the onset of cancorgenesis.
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